

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/629,060	07/29/2003	Wen-Chien David Hsiao	HSJ9-2003-0048US1 5875 (0105-0			
75	90 09/12/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
ATTN: John J	. Oskorep	HEINZ, ALLEN J				
One Magnificer						
Suite 1400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
980 N. Michiga	n Avenue	2653				
Chicago, IL 6		DATE MAILED 00/12/2006				

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/629,0	60	HSIAO ET AL.				
		Examine	г	Art Unit				
	·	A. J. HEII	NZ	2653				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF TI of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex nunication. atutory period will apply and w will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICA rent, however, may a repl vill expire SIX (6) MONTH dication to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this of the control of the con				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>27 June 2005</i> .						
2a)⊠	•	2b)☐ This action is i	non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22,24-30</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>17-22 and 24-30</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3,6-9,11 and 14-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖾	Claim(s) <u>2,4,5,10,12 and 13</u> is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	requirement.					
Applicat	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	b by the Examiner. N	ote the attached (Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have been documents have been of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in App ents have been re le 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this Nationa	l Stage			
					•			
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	PTO 048)		nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 2653

1. The following is a quotation of 37 CFR 1.71(a)-(c):

- (a) The specification must include a written description of the invention or discovery and of the manner and process of making and using the same, and is required to be in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art or science to which the invention or discovery appertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same.
- (b) The specification must set forth the precise invention for which a patent is solicited, in such manner as to distinguish it from other inventions and from what is old. It must describe completely a specific embodiment of the process, machine, manufacture, composition of matter or improvement invented, and must explain the mode of operation or principle whenever applicable. The best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention must be set forth.
- (C) In the case of an improvement, the specification must particularly point out the part or parts of the process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter to which the improvement relates, and the description should be confined to the specific improvement and to such parts as necessarily cooperate with it or as may be necessary to a complete understanding or description of it.

The specification is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71 and 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. The subject matter of Cls.3,6,7,11, 14&15 is not fully disclosed. The "second pedestal" feature has not been clearly or completely defined in the specification. See MPEP \$608.01(o).

Art Unit: 2653

- 2. Claims 3,6,7,11,14&15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as directed to subject matter which was not described in the specification in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention. See previous paragraph.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. §102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1,8,9&16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Crue or Sasaki.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,060

Art Unit: 2653

In reiteration; Fig. 3 of Crue shows all of the claimed structure including the gap plane 167 transecting coils 140; or Fig. 20A of Sasaki which shows all of the claimed structure including the gap plane layer 29 transecting coils 30.

Applicant asserts that the language "each coil layer extending continuously between the upper and the lower poles through a plane defined by the write gap layer" [emphasis indicated in applicant's response] is the defining feature which is not disclosed in the prior art. Without getting into the features which are clearly shown by the prior art such as the gap plane transecting all of the coil layers and apparently ceded by applicant, the apparent dispute is whether the coil layers extend continuously between the upper and lower poles.

To this the examiner dissects the instant language to note that 'continuously' means the uninterrupted construction of a feature. To that definition both of the applied references show coil layers such as Crue's layers 140 forming an unbroken vertical length. The only question remaining {apparently} is whether they (the coil layers) extend between the upper and lower poles. Since the claim itself is silent on the degree of 'extending' we look to the disclosure and see via figure 10, for example, that each coil layer has only a vertical construct (which is continuous) starting and ending in approximate contact

Art Unit: 2653

with the insulating layers [not the pole layers] above and below the respective lower and upper poles. This construction is standard practice in the art in order to prevent the coil layers from contacting and shorting-out on the conductive pole layers and is also clearly shown in the applied prior art... see Crue's figure 1-3 wherein coil layers continuously extend between the insulating layers 150 and 160.

Therefore to extent claimed and disclosed, the prior art clearly establishes anticipation of the coil layer features.

5. For a complete response applicant should identify how the claimed structure of his invention defines over **all** the art of record.

Moreover, where the applicant disagrees with the reasoning and/or application of the prior art on critical points of the claims, they should identify how the claimed structure of their invention defines over **all** the art of record not just the applied art.

Where applicant believes that the art is redundant and/or superfluous relative to the critical aspects of the claimed invention the applicant may simply state so in rebuttal summary.

Also note, applicant's silence pertaining to other claimed features has been taken as an indication that same is not of

Application/Control Number: 10/629,060

Art Unit: 2653

significant import in defining the scope of applicant's invention and may be considered as inherent in the prior art and/or obvious over the art of record.

- 6. Claims 17-22,24-30 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 2,4,5,10,12&13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. If applicant has filed an information disclosure statement and has not received an office action that contain an initialed-off copy (or copies) of all such filed IDS's (or at least a comment to the disposition of such IDS'S in the body of the office action itself) applicant should apprise the examiner of such missing documentation [to the IDS's] in response to this office action so that the examiner can take appropriate action to supply same to the applicant.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is

Application/Control Number: 10/629,060

Art Unit: 2653

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

A. J. HEINZ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2653 Page 7

Art Unit 2653

^{10.} Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. J. HEINZ whose telephone number is (571) 272-7587. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WILLIAM KORZUCH can be reached on (571)272-7589.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).